1	<b>Electronic Supplementary Material</b>
2	
3	Size-dependent physiological responses of shore crabs to single and
4	repeated playback of ship noise
5	
6	Matthew A. Wale, Stephen D. Simpson & Andrew N. Radford
7	
8	Study animals and husbandry
9	Shore crabs (Carcinus maenas) are native to north-western Europe and the north-western
10	Atlantic, but have also recently invaded the North Pacific, South Australia and South Africa
11	[S1]. They are one of the most common and well-known of all intertidal animals [S2], thus
12	inhabiting areas that are frequented by a variety of shipping.
13	
14	Animals for the single-exposure experiment were caught from Newquay harbour (50°25'N
15	5°5'W) on 12 <sup>th</sup> and 13 <sup>th</sup> October 2011 and those for the repeated-exposure experiment were
16	caught on 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2012. Inside Newquay harbour itself, there is sporadic traffic noise
17	from pleasure craft, fishing and angling boat trips, and speed boats; noise from larger ships
18	further afield is also likely, although those vessels do not enter the harbour itself. Following
19	capture with a seine net, crabs were held for a maximum of 48 hours in salt-water tanks at the
20	Blue Reef Aquarium, Newquay before transfer to Bristol Aquarium. The single-exposure
21	experiment took place in November 2011; the repeated-exposure experiment in June 2012.
22	Polystyrene boxes (to reduce noise transmission) were used to hold crabs in Bristol. The
23	boxes (48 x 32 x 28 cm lwh) received water from one of the Aquarium display tanks, which
24	were plumbed into advanced filtration facilities. Holding tanks were fitted with a sub-surface
25	inflow pipe to prevent noise from water falling or collision with the tank floor; the flow was
26	adjusted to allow complete tank flush-through every 30 min and thus ensure the maintenance
27	of high water quality. Sound levels in holding tanks were kept as low as possible and were
28	comparable to those for ambient-noise playback during experiments (see Fig. S1).
29	
30	Holding boxes contained sand on the floor and shelters made with inverted plastic flower pots
31	weighted down with a layer of pea gravel secured around the base with Milliput epoxy putty
32	(The Milliput Company, Gwynedd, UK). Box lids included a mesh window to allow light to

reach the animals; this light did not, however, cover all areas of the tank or reach into shelters

- 34 and thus animals had a choice of light/dark conditions. Water temperature was kept at 12-14°C, salinity at 32–35 ppt and water qualities within safe parameters (NO<sub>2</sub>: <0.3 mg/l, NO<sub>3</sub>: 35 0 mg/l, NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>:  $\leq$  0.25 mg/l, pH: 7.4–7.9). Crabs were fed every 48 hours on a variety of 36 previously frozen meats (cockle, mussel, shrimp, krill, sand eel, mackerel) or dry composite 37 38 marine pellets (New Era Aquaculture Ltd., Thorne, UK), with any excess cleared from the holding tank during tank maintenance no more than 8 hours after feeding. Although there was 39 40 a constant water change within the holding tank (see above), 25% water was removed by siphon with excess food and waste; this water was replaced through normal tank flow 41 42 through. Water changes and the flow-through system ensured maintenance of constant oxygen levels and the removal of any water that had become "trapped" in the tank corners. 43 44
- To ensure testing of the same individuals at the same time each day and to allow intra-45
- individual comparisons across time, crabs in the repeated-exposure experiment were 46
- numbered using a waterproof marker (Kuretake Co, Ltd.). 47
- 48

## 49 **Noise treatments**

Original sound recordings were made at three UK ports (Gravesend: Rio de la Plata, 286-m 50 51 long, 64,730 t container ship; Plymouth: Bro Distributor, 147-m long, 14,500 t LPG tanker; Portsmouth: Commodore Goodwill, 126-m long, 5,215 t ferry; recorded at ca. 200 m), using 52 53 a calibrated omnidirectional hydrophone (HiTech HTI-96-MIN with inbuilt preamplifier, High Tech Inc., Gulfport MS) and an Edirol R09-HR 24-Bit recorder (44.1 kHz sampling 54 55 rate, Roland Systems Group, Bellingham WA). The recording level was calibrated for the R09-HR using pure sine wave signals, measured in line with an oscilloscope, produced by a 56 57 function generator. The average noise level of each recording was assessed using Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) analysis (units normalised to 1 Hz, Hann evaluation, 50% overlap, 58 59 FFT size 1024; averaged from 2 min of recording) in Avisoft SASLab Pro v4.5.2 (Avisoft Bioacoustics, Berlin). This analysis found some variation in average noise levels between 60 recordings: ambient tracks between 92 and 106 dB RMS re 1 µPa at 1 m, and ship-noise 61 62 tracks between 126 and 136 dB RMS re 1 µPa at 1 m.

63

To give consistency between the three cases of each treatment, tracks were modified to play 64

- back in the centre of the experimental tank at received levels of 108-111 dB RMS re 1 µPa at 65
- 10 cm for ambient noise (chosen to be markedly higher than the noise floor in the tanks at 66

67 low frequencies) and 148–155 dB RMS re 1 µPa at 10 cm for ship noise (representing the received acoustic pressure from a large ship of source level 170 dB RMS re 1 µPa at 1 m 68 passing at a distance of 100 m in shallow water) (Fig. S2). All measurements were made with 69 70 the same hydrophone set-up as above. All tracks were played back in experiments using: WAV/MP3 Player (Ultradisk<sup>®</sup> DVR2 560Hrs; frequency range: 20–20,000 Hz); amplifier 71 (Kemo Electronic GmbH; 18W; frequency response: 40–20,000 Hz); potentiometer (set to 72 73 minimum resistance; Omeg Ltd; 10K logarithmic); and Aqua 30 underwater speaker (DNH; 74 effective frequency range 80–20,000 Hz). See Figs. S3 and S4 for comparative spectrograms of original sound recordings and received sounds from playbacks of both ambient and ship 75 76 noise.

77

The noise playbacks presented a range of frequencies that are likely to fall within the hearing 78 79 range of the crabs - inferred from a study on the prawn Palaemon serratus [S3] - but the ship-noise playbacks peaked at lower frequencies than the ambient-noise playbacks. The 80 81 experimental sound levels are unlikely to be high enough to cause auditory damage to the crabs, although due to the lack of knowledge in this area the exact sound pressure level 82 needed to cause permanent or temporary damage is currently unknown. It is likely that 83 decapods detect the particle motion element of sound (first suggested by [S4]), but suitable 84 equipment (e.g. a miniature accelerometer) was not available for such measurements; this is 85 an issue that requires future research in general. 86

87

## 88 Statistical analysis

89 The actual amount of water in the one-litre experimental container varied depending on the size of the crab, since larger individuals displace more water. In reality, even crabs of the 90 largest mass used in the experiments displaced only 15 ml of water and, as such, the slight 91 variation in available water volume for different-sized crabs (985-998 ml), and the small 92 percentage of the total water volume missing (0.2-1.5%), is unlikely to affect significantly 93 our oxygen consumption measures. However, to make sure, measurements (mass and water 94 95 displacement) from 12 crabs were used to generate the relationship between these factors: volume of water displaced (ml) = 0.20 x crab mass (g)  $- 3.81 \text{ (R}^2 = 0.98)$ . All oxygen 96 consumption values from both experiments were then corrected for the actual amount of 97 water available in the experimental chamber given the mass of the relevant crab, and these 98 corrected values were used in the statistical analyses. 99

101	For the single-exposure experiment, a two-way ANOVA was used to assess the effect of crab
102	mass, sound treatment (ambient or ship noise) and the interaction between the two on oxygen
103	consumption rate. For the repeated exposure experiment, a repeated-measures ANOVA was
104	used to assess the effect of sound treatment (ambient or ship noise), day in the sequence and
105	the interaction between the two on mass-corrected oxygen consumption rate.
106	
107	References
108	S1. Crothers J 1968 Biology of the Shore Crab Carcinus maenas. Field Studies Council,
109	Shrewsbury.
110	S2. Moksnes P, Pihl L & Montfrans JV 1998 Predation on postlarvae and juveniles of the
111	shore crab Carcinus maenas: importance of shelter, size and cannibalism. Mar. Ecol.
112	<i>Prog. Ser.</i> <b>166,</b> 211–225.
113	S3. Lovell JM, Findlay MM, Moate RM & Yan HY 2005 The hearing abilities of the prawn
114	Palaemon serratus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A 140, 89–100.
115	S4. Goodall C, Chapman C & Neil D 1990 The acoustic response threshold of the Norway
116	lobster, Nephrops norvegicus. In Wiese K, Krenz WD, Tautz J, Reichert H & Mulloney B
117	(eds.) Frontiers in Crustacean Neurobiology. Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 106–113.
118	
119	
120	
121	
122	
123	
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	

- 131 **Figure S1.** Variation in sound profiles for holding tanks and ambient-noise playbacks. Shown
- are illustrative power spectrographic examples from recordings made in the centre of a

holding tank and 10 cm from the speaker in the experimental tank.





Figure S2. Variation in sound profiles for ambient conditions in the experimental tank and
playbacks of both ambient and ship noise. Shown are illustrative power spectrographic

150 examples from recordings made 10 cm from the speaker in the experimental tank.





Figure S3. Illustrative spectrograms of original recording in the field and re-recording of
 playback of ambient noise from one of the three UK ports.



- 196 **Figure S4.** Illustrative spectrograms of original recording in the field and re-recording of
- 197 playback of ship noise from one of the three UK ports
- 198



Ship-Noise Recording From Field



