

1 **Duration and outcome of intergroup conflict influences intragroup affiliative behaviour**

2  
3 **Andrew N. Radford**

4  
5 **Supplementary Material**

6  
7 **Statistical Analysis**

8 Data sets containing repeated measures from the same group and individual were analysed  
9 using mixed models to allow the inclusion of random, as well as fixed, terms. Box-plots were  
10 examined to check data for outliers, normality and equality of variance. Normally distributed  
11 data with a constant variance were analysed using Linear Mixed Models (LMMs) with an  
12 identity link function, while data with a Poisson distribution were analysed using Generalised  
13 Linear Mixed Model (GLMMs) with a log link function. In all mixed models, variance  
14 components were estimated using the Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) method, and  
15 random terms were retained in the model unless the variance component was found to be zero  
16 (and hence their removal did not influence the findings reported). In each model, all fixed  
17 terms were entered and then sequentially dropped until only terms whose elimination would  
18 have significantly reduced the explanatory power of the model remained (the minimal model).  
19 The significance of eliminated terms was derived by adding them individually to the minimal  
20 model. The significance of each term was determined using the Wald statistic, which  
21 approximates the  $\chi^2$  distribution. All two-way interactions were tested, but only those that  
22 were significant were retained in the minimal model and are presented in the Tables (below)  
23 and in the Results of the main paper. Group identity was included as a random term in all  
24 models; individual identity was included as a random term in the GLMMs investigating the  
25 influence of sex and dominance status on rates of individual allopreening donation and  
26 receipt.

35 **Supplementary Table 1** Summary of a LMM investigating the influence of recent intergroup  
 36 conflict on the rate of intragroup body allopreening by green woodhoopoe groups.

37

| model term                   | estimate $\pm$ s.e.m. | Wald statistic ( $\chi^2$ ) | d.f. | <i>P</i> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|
| conflict situation           |                       | 102.90                      | 1    | <0.001   |
| nonconflict                  | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| postconflict                 | 1.856 $\pm$ 0.183     |                             |      |          |
| group size                   | 0.840 $\pm$ 0.132     | 40.36                       | 1    | <0.001   |
| month                        |                       | 54.74                       | 6    | <0.001   |
| January                      | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| February                     | 0.279 $\pm$ 0.055     |                             |      |          |
| March                        | 0.534 $\pm$ 0.091     |                             |      |          |
| April                        | 1.643 $\pm$ 0.332     |                             |      |          |
| May                          | 1.588 $\pm$ 0.356     |                             |      |          |
| November                     | 0.193 $\pm$ 0.067     |                             |      |          |
| December                     | -0.089 $\pm$ 0.009    |                             |      |          |
| group identity (random term) | 0.047 $\pm$ 0.062     |                             |      |          |
| constant                     | 2.001 $\pm$ 0.254     |                             |      |          |

38

39 Results based on 201 intragroup allopreening rates from 12 groups. Mean effect estimates  
 40 ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) provided for significant terms in minimal model.

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 **Supplementary Table 2** Summary of a LMM investigating the influence of intergroup  
 58 conflict duration and outcome on the rate of intragroup body allopreening by green  
 59 woodhoopoe groups.

60

| model term                           | estimate $\pm$ s.e.m. | Wald statistic ( $\chi^2$ ) | d.f. | <i>P</i> |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|
| conflict duration x conflict outcome | 0.041 $\pm$ 0.019     | 4.90                        | 1    | 0.029    |
| conflict duration                    | 0.073 $\pm$ 0.013     |                             |      |          |
| conflict outcome                     |                       |                             |      |          |
| won                                  | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| lost                                 | 0.351 $\pm$ 0.212     |                             |      |          |
| group size                           | 0.910 $\pm$ 0.119     | 58.62                       | 1    | <0.001   |
| month                                |                       | 17.04                       | 6    | 0.013    |
| January                              | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| February                             | 0.500 $\pm$ 0.121     |                             |      |          |
| March                                | 0.637 $\pm$ 0.145     |                             |      |          |
| April                                | 0.854 $\pm$ 0.267     |                             |      |          |
| May                                  | 1.308 $\pm$ 0.413     |                             |      |          |
| November                             | -0.088 $\pm$ 0.045    |                             |      |          |
| December                             | -0.137 $\pm$ 0.042    |                             |      |          |
| group identity (random term)         | 0.012 $\pm$ 0.056     |                             |      |          |
| constant                             | 2.360 $\pm$ 0.306     |                             |      |          |

61

62 Results based on 125 postconflict intragroup allopreening rates from 12 groups. Mean effect  
 63 estimates ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) provided for significant terms in minimal model.

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 **Supplementary Table 3** Summary of two GLMMs investigating the influence of dominance  
 79 status and sex on the change in rate of individual intragroup body allopreening (a) donation  
 80 and (b) receipt following intergroup conflicts of different outcome.

81

| model term                          | estimate $\pm$ s.e.m. | Wald statistic ( $\chi^2$ ) | d.f. | <i>P</i> |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|
| <i>(a) donation</i>                 |                       |                             |      |          |
| dominance status x conflict outcome | 0.896 $\pm$ 0.429     | 4.36                        | 1    | 0.040    |
| dominance status                    |                       |                             |      |          |
| breeding pair                       | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| helpers                             | -1.253 $\pm$ 0.278    |                             |      |          |
| conflict outcome                    |                       |                             |      |          |
| won                                 | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| lost                                | 0.990 $\pm$ 0.248     |                             |      |          |
| sex                                 |                       | 0.07                        | 1    | 0.793    |
| group size                          | 0.310 $\pm$ 0.123     | 6.34                        | 1    | 0.014    |
| month                               |                       | 6.85                        | 6    | 0.346    |
| group identity (random term)        | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| individual identity (random term)   | 0.062 $\pm$ 0.043     |                             |      |          |
| constant                            | 0.544 $\pm$ 0.131     |                             |      |          |
| <i>(b) receipt</i>                  |                       |                             |      |          |
| dominance status x conflict outcome | -1.074 $\pm$ 0.511    | 4.41                        | 1    | 0.038    |
| dominance status                    |                       |                             |      |          |
| breeding pair                       | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| helpers                             | 1.725 $\pm$ 0.323     |                             |      |          |
| conflict outcome                    |                       |                             |      |          |
| won                                 | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| lost                                | 0.184 $\pm$ 0.436     |                             |      |          |
| sex                                 |                       | 1.23                        | 1    | 0.271    |
| group size                          |                       | 0.02                        | 1    | 0.899    |
| month                               |                       | 7.14                        | 6    | 0.320    |
| group identity (random term)        | 0 $\pm$ 0             |                             |      |          |
| individual identity (random term)   | 0.094 $\pm$ 0.062     |                             |      |          |
| constant                            | -0.884 $\pm$ 0.297    |                             |      |          |

82

83 Results based on 168 changes in hourly body allopreening rate (postconflict hour rate minus  
 84 preconflict hour rate) from 36 individuals in 10 groups (two groups contained no helpers).

85 Mean effect estimates ( $\pm$ s.e.m.) provided for significant terms in minimal model.